

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/773,162 02/09/2004 Patrick M. McAleenan JR. 5551-1001 6767 **EXAMINER** 466 7590 06/16/2005 YOUNG & THOMPSON PHILLIPS, CHARLES E 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202 3751

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Q	7 9
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	T
	10/773,162	MCALEENAN, PATRICK M	1.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles E. Phillips	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicatio ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ın.
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
•	is action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			S
on of Claims			
Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-17 is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 and 18-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	vn from consideration.	ı	
on Papers			
The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			d).
ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure		received in this National Stage	

			Ø
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i></i>
	10/773,162	MCALEENAN, PA	ATRICK M.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles E. Phillips	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-17 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 18-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/s	n from consideration.		ı
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	its have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documer	its nave been received in Applicat	ion No	

Attachment(s)

1) L	Notice	oi	References	Cited	(P)	10-892)	1

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/9/04.

4)	Intervi	ew Sumi	mary (P	TO-41	13)	
	Paper	No(s)/M	ail Date.			
٠.						

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)	\sqcup	Other	r:
----	----------	-------	----

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krischer in view of Matte.

Krischer teaches a collection device where the respective walls are shown with an opening 14 and the base portion with lip and rim are seen in Fig. 3. Lacking is the lower wall that extends further than the top wall. Such a lower wall is taught by Mette as seen in Fig. 2 at 2. To provide for such wall to be employed in Krischer would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan in order to prevent splash outwardly. Re: claims 20-21, with the provision of the lower wall as set forth above the combination would possess a "continuous" edge, as would any receptacle with an opening.

Claims 5-17 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 4/11/05.

Whitman and Lamb show other splash guards.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles Phillips at telephone number 571-272-4893.

Charles E. Phillips
Primary Examiner